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At the present time there are sufficiently many works in which questions of the stability of systems with parameters which are random functions of an independent variable are considered (such as [l to l3], for example). Stability in the mean-square is examined in many of them.

The following question was also examined in [5 and 6]. Let some solution of a second order differential equation with constart coefficients increase without limit together with the argument. Is it possible to achieve boundedness of a solution with the same initial conditions in the mean-square if a random function $\alpha(t)$ is appended to one of the coefficients of this equation? The correct answer, obtained in [6], in which the function $a(t)$ satisfies the same demands as in [5] $(\alpha(t)$ describes Gaussian white noise, say), turns out to be negative.

In [10], in particular, the systemi of equations

$$
\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i j}-r_{i j}(t)\right) x_{j}(t)
$$

Where the $c_{1}$, are constents and the $r_{1 j}(t)$ random functions, was analyzed. It turned out that this system is not stable in the mean if the functions $r_{i}(t)$ describe Gaussian white noise and the corresponding deterministic system is unstable.

The following problem is posed herein.

1. Let some deterministic system be described by Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(n)}(t)+a_{n-1} u^{(n-1)}(t)+\ldots+a_{0} u(t)=f(t) \quad(n>1,0 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In which the coefficients $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ are real constants, the function $f(t)$ is real and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t)|<\frac{N}{(1+x t)^{p}} \quad(p>0, x \geqslant 0) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $N, x$ and $p$ are independent of $t$.

Replacement of some coefficient $a_{j}(j \cdots 0,1, \ldots, n-2)$ by $a_{j}-\alpha_{j}(t)$, where $a_{j}(t)$ is a random function, leads to Equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(n)}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)+a_{n-1} u^{(n-1)}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)+\ldots+a_{0} u\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)=f(t)+\alpha_{j}(t) u^{(j)}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right) \\
(j=0,1, \ldots, n-2) \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The following is assumed relative to the random function $\alpha_{j}(t)$.

1) The autocorrelation range $a$ of the random process $a_{j}(t)$ is zero (*)
2) $\left\langle\alpha_{j}(t)\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\alpha_{j}(t) \alpha_{j}(t+\tau)\right\rangle=S_{j} \delta(\tau) \quad\left(-\infty \leqslant t, \tau \leqslant \infty ; S_{j}=\mathrm{const}>0\right)$

Here and henceforth, the angle brackets denote the average over the ensemble. Conditions (1) and (2) are an idealization of the following conditions (as $a \rightarrow 0$ ).

1a) The random process $a_{j}(t)$ has an autocorrelation range $a>0$;
2a) $\left\langle\alpha_{j}(t)\right\rangle=0, \quad \int_{-a}^{a}\left\langle\alpha_{j}(t) \alpha_{j}(t+\tau)\right\rangle d \tau=s_{j}, P\left[\left|\alpha_{j}(t)\right|<\frac{\tau_{j}}{\sqrt{\bar{a}}}\right]=1$
where $\gamma_{j}=\mathrm{const}>0, \mathrm{P}$ is probability; $-\infty \leqslant t \leqslant \infty$.
It is necessary to clarify the relation between the asymptotes of any particular solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) and the mean square $\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle$ of the solution $u\left(t ; a_{j}\right)$ of (2.2), obtained under the same initial conditions as for $u(t)$.

The obtained results reduce to the following two theorems.
Theorem l.l. If the real part of at least one characteristic number of (1.1) is positive, then the mean square $\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle$ of any particular solution of (1.3) (with the random fanction $a_{f}(t)$ possessing properties (1) and (2)) increases without limit with $t$ for any values $S_{1}$.

Theorem 1.2 . If the characteristic numbers $\lambda_{1}$ or (1.1) are such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i}<0(i=1, \ldots, n)$, then the mean square $\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle$ of any particular solution of (1.3) (with the random function $\alpha_{j}(t)$ possessing properties (1) and (2)) will increase unboundedly with $t$ if and only if

$$
S_{j}>S_{j}^{*}=\left[\frac{(-1)^{j}}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \infty}^{i \infty} \frac{z^{2 j} d z}{L_{n}(z) L_{n}(-z)}\right]^{-1}>0
$$

Here $L_{\mathrm{n}}(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of (1.1) (**)
In case $S_{j}<S_{j}{ }^{*}$ and $\times>0$

$$
\lim \left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle=-0 \quad \text { for } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

To prove these Theorems let us derive an expression for $\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle$.
2, In (1.3) let the random function $\alpha_{j}(t)$ satisfy the conditions (la) and (2a) from Section 1. Equation (1.3) is equivalent to the relation
$u\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)=u(t)+\int_{0}^{t} W(t-q) \alpha_{j}(q) u^{(j)}\left(q, \alpha_{j}\right) d q, \quad W(t-q)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma-i \infty}^{\gamma+i \infty} \frac{e^{p(t-q)}}{L_{n}(p)} d p$
*) A quantity $a>0$ such that for any fixed $t$ and $|\tau|>a$, the random variables $a_{j}(t)$ and $a_{j}(t+\tau)$ are independent is calied the autocorrelation range.
*) Por example, for the second order equation

$$
u\left(t, \alpha_{0}\right)+2 \beta u\left(t, \alpha_{0}\right)+\omega_{0}^{2}\left[1+\alpha_{0}(t)\right] u\left(t, \alpha_{0}\right)=0(\beta>0)
$$

the necessary and sufficiert condition for boundedness of any particular solution in the mean is $S_{0}<4 \beta / \omega_{0}^{2}$, which agrees completely with [6].

Here $u(t)$ is any particular solution (*) of (1.1) and $W(t-q)$ is a Cauchy runction; $Y>\operatorname{Ren}$, where $\Lambda$ is the zero of the characteristic polynomial $L_{\mathrm{a}}(\lambda)$ of (1.1) which has the greatest real part (or one of such zeroes). As is known, the function $W(t) e^{-\gamma t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ no matter what the $y>\operatorname{Re} \wedge$.

The integral equation
$u^{(j)}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)=u^{(j)}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} W^{(j)}(t-q) \alpha_{j}(q) u^{(j)}\left(q, \alpha_{j}\right) d q \quad(j=0,1, \ldots, n-2)$
is easily obtained from (2.1).
As is known, the solution of this equation has the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{(j)}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_{s}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right), \quad U_{0}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)=u^{(j)}(t) \\
U^{s}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{s}} W_{j s}(y) \alpha_{j s}(y) u^{(j)}\left(y_{s}\right) d y \quad(s=1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{*}\right\}$ is an $s$-dimensional vector; $\Gamma_{a}$ a domain in s-dimensional space divided up by means of the inequalities

$$
t \geqslant y_{1} \geqslant y_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant y_{s} \geqslant 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{j s}(y)=\alpha_{j}\left(y_{1}\right) \alpha_{j}\left(y_{2}\right) \ldots \alpha_{j}\left(y_{8}\right), \quad W_{j s}(y)=W^{(j)}\left(t-y_{1}\right) W^{(j)}\left(y_{1}-y_{8}\right) \ldots W^{(j)}\left(y_{s-1}-y_{8}\right) \\
& \text { Substitution of (2.2) for } u^{(j)}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right) \text { in }(2.1) \text { leads to the relation } \\
& u\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_{s}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{0}\left(t, a_{j}\right)=u(t), \quad V_{s}\left(t, a_{j}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{s}} G_{j s}(y) \alpha_{j s}(y) u^{(j)}\left(y_{s}\right) d y \quad(s=1,2, \ldots) \\
G_{j 1}(y)=W\left(t-y_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$G_{j 1}(y)=W\left(t-y_{1}\right) W^{(j)}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) W^{(j)}\left(y_{2}-y_{3}\right) \ldots W^{(j)}\left(y_{s-1}-y_{8}\right) \quad(s=2,3, \ldots)$
Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle=u^{2}(t)+\sum_{\sigma, \sigma=0}^{\infty}\left\langle V_{s}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right) V_{\sigma}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle \quad(s+\sigma \geqslant 2) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is simplified substantially if the autocorrelation range a approaches zero, $1, e$. If we go from conditions (ia), ( $2 a$ ) of Section 1 to conditions (1) and (2).

The following must here be kept in mind.
a) Each term of the sum in (2.3) is some integral. Those terms for which $n=a+0$ is odd vanish together with a. This follows from estimates, by the method expounded in [11], of the sum of the volumes of those parts of the domain of integration $\Gamma_{\text {: }}$ where the integrand is not zero.
b) The contribution in any of the remaining terms, from integration over those portions of the domain $r_{n}$ which do not correspand to grouping of the arguments in twos (**), vanishes together with $a$. This follows from

[^0]estimates of the sum of the volumes of such portions of $\Gamma_{0}$, made by the same method.
c) The contribution from integration over the portions of $F_{0}$ corresponding to such a grouping of the arguments in pairs, for which at least one pair of arguments coincides with the arguments of some of the functions
$$
W^{(j)}\left(y_{i}-y_{i+1}\right)(j=0,1, \ldots, n-2)
$$
also approaches zero. This latter is the result of an exact calculation of such a contribution when passing to the properties (1) and (2) as a limit, if it is here taken into account that $W^{(j)}(0)=0$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, n-2$.

Thus only those terms of the sum in (2.3) for which $s=\sigma$ do not vanish together with a. In evaluating them it is necessary to integrate only over those portions of the domain $F_{n}$ which correspond to grouping of the arguments in pairs, and moreover, such that none of the pairs coincides with the arguments of any of the functions $W^{(j)}$.

Then in the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ the function $\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle=u^{2}(t)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{j}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\infty}\left[G_{j n}(y) u^{(j)}\left(y_{n}\right)\right]^{2} d y \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen already from this formula that $1 f u^{2}(t)$ increases without limit together with $t$, then $\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle$ will also increase without ilmit.

It is easy to note that each term of the series in the last formula is a convolution, which permits the summation of this series by using the Laplace transform. Indeed

$$
L\left\{\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, a_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right\}=L\left\{u^{2}(t)\right\}+L\left\{W^{2}(t)\right\} L\left\{\left[u^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{j}^{n}\left(L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\}\right)^{n-1}
$$

where the symbol $L\{\ldots\}$ denotes the Laplace transform. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle= \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{p_{0}-i \infty}^{p_{0}+i \infty} \frac{L\left\{u^{2}(t)\right\}+S_{j}\left(L\left\{W^{2}(t)\right\} L\left\{\left[u^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\}-L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\} L\left\{u^{2}(t)\right\}\right)}{1-S_{j} L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\}} e^{p t} d p$
Here $p_{0}>\operatorname{Re} p_{1}$, where $p_{1}$ are singularities of the integrand.
For $S_{i}=0$ the right side of (2.5) becomes equal to $u^{2}(t)$ (1t should be recalled that $u(t)$ is any particular solution of (1.1) and $u\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)$ is the solution of (1.3) obtained under the same initial conditions as for $u(t)$.
3. Formula (2.5) permits the solution of the question of the boundedness of the function $\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle$ by using el mmentary considerations.

It follows from (2.5) that if $S_{1}>0$ and the characteristic numbers $\lambda_{1}$ of (1.1) are such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i}<0(i=1, \ldots, n)$, then the zeroes of the denominator are the only poles or the integrand in the half-plane Rep>0. Indeed, the functions

$$
L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\}, \quad L\left\{\left[u^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\} \quad(j=0,1, \ldots, n-2)
$$

then have no poles in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} p>0:$ for $L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\}$ this follows from the properties of the Cauchy function, for $L\left\{\left\{\left.u^{(j)}(t)\right|^{2}\right\}\right.$, from the boundedness by the condition on the function $f(t)(0 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty)$.

Hence, Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{j}(p) \equiv L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\}=S_{j}^{-1} \quad(j=0,1, \ldots, n-2) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

should be examined and the location of its roots on the $p$ plane should be investigated as a function of the quantity $S_{1}>0$ and the values of the characteristic numbers $\lambda_{1}(t=1, \ldots, n)$ of (1.1).

It is easy to see that for any values of the numbers $\lambda_{i}\left(-\infty<\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i}<\infty\right)$ the function $\Phi_{j}(p)$ has the rollowing properties.

1. The function $\Phi_{J}(p)$ is defined and analytic in the half-plane
$\operatorname{Re} p>2 \operatorname{Re} A$, where $A$ is the characteristic number of (1.1) which has the greatest real part.
2. The function $\Phi_{1}(p)$ is real on the real half-axis $p>2 \operatorname{Re} \Lambda$.
3. The function $\Phi_{j}(p) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow+\infty$.
4. If $p>2 \operatorname{Re} \Lambda$, then $\lim \Phi_{j}(p)=+\infty$ as $F \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Re} \Lambda$.
5. If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{1}<0(t=1, \ldots, n)$, then the greatest absolute value of $\ell_{j}(p)$ in the closed right half-plane is $\phi_{j}(0)>0$.

The last property follows from the fact that

$$
\Phi_{j}(p)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2} e^{-p t} d t
$$

(since the function $W^{(j)}(t)$ is real, $\left.0 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty\right)$.
It follows from properties (2), (4), (1) and (3) that if $\operatorname{Re} A>0$, then at least one root of (3.1) (*) lies on the half-axis $p=2 \operatorname{Re} A$. The numerator of the integrand in (2.5) has the form

$$
S_{j} L\left\{W^{2}(t)\right\} L\left\{\left[u^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\} e^{p t}
$$

1.e. is greater than zero for real $p$, for the values of $p$ which are zeroes of the denominator. This proves Theorem 1.1.

If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i}<0(i=1, \ldots, n)$, then at least one root of (3.1) is found in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} p>0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}>S_{j}^{*}=\Phi_{j}^{-1}(0) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact it follows from property (5) that for $S_{1} \leq S_{1}^{*}$ Equation (3.1) carnot have any roots in the closed right half-plane. If $S_{j}>S^{*}$, then from properties (5), (2), (1) and (3) of the function $\phi_{1}(p)$ results the existence of at least one root of (3.1) on the half-axis $p>0$

As is known, if

$$
\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i}<0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n),|f(t)|<\frac{N}{(1+x t)^{\rho}} \quad(\rho>0, x \geqslant 0 ; 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty)
$$

the following estimate is valid

$$
\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right|<\frac{C_{j}}{(1+x t)^{p}} \equiv M_{j}(t) \quad(j=0,1, \ldots, n-2 ; 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty)
$$

The quantities $C_{j}$ are independent of $t$.
If we put $M_{j}^{a}\left(\nu_{n}\right)$ into (2.4) in place of $\left[u^{(j)}\left(y_{n}\right)\right]^{2}$, then the new series obtained as result of such a substitution converges to some function $F_{g}(t)$ such that $\left.F_{j}(t)\right\rangle\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, a_{j}\right)\right\rangle(0 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty)$. Each term of the series for $F_{j}^{\prime}(t)$ (Just as the terms of the series for $\left.\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right)$ is a convolution, which permits using the Laplace transformation to obtain the equality

$$
L\left\{F_{j}(t)\right\}=\frac{L\left\{M_{0}{ }^{2}(t)\right\}+S_{j}\left(L\left\{W^{2}(t)\right\} L\left\{M_{j}{ }^{2}(t)\right\}-L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\} L\left\{M_{0}{ }^{2}(t)\right\}\right)}{1-S_{j} L\left\{\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2}\right\}}
$$

Applying the inversion formula to $L\left\{F_{i}(t)\right\}$ and investigating the deformations of the contour of integration admissible for $x^{x}=0$ and $x,>0$, we may arrive at the following conclusion: If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i}<0(i=1, \ldots, \dot{n})$, the


$$
\sup _{t}\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, a_{j}\right)\right\rangle<\infty \quad(x \geqslant 0,0 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty), \quad \lim _{t}\left\langle u^{2}\left(t, x_{j}\right)\right\rangle-0 \quad(x>0, t \rightarrow \infty)
$$

*) Eviderity this same deduction is valid also for $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=0$ if $f$ is less than the multiplicity of the characteristic number $\Lambda$ or Im $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.2 is proved.
Let us evaluate the quantity,$^{(0)}$ which appears in (3.2). According to the definition of the functions $f_{j}(p)$ and $W(t)$ (Formulas (3.1) and (2.1))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{j}(0)= & \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[W^{(j)}(t)\right]^{2} d t, \quad W^{(j)}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma-i \infty}^{\gamma+i \infty} \frac{z^{j} e^{z t} d z}{L_{n}(z)} \\
& (j=0,1, \ldots, n-2 ; \operatorname{Re} \Lambda<\gamma<0)
\end{aligned}
$$

After having substituted the expression for $W^{(j)}(t)$ in the first formula and having integrated with respect to $t$, the quantity,$(0)$ is expressed by Formula
$\Phi_{j}(0)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{\gamma_{1}-i \infty}^{\gamma_{1}+i \infty} d z_{1} \int_{\gamma_{2}-i \infty}^{\gamma_{2}+i \infty} d z_{2} \frac{z_{1} z_{2}}{L_{n}\left(z_{1}\right) L_{n}\left(z_{2}\right)\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)} \quad\binom{i=0,1, \ldots, n-2}{\operatorname{He~} \Lambda<\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}<0}$
Having evaluated the inner integral and permitted $\gamma_{1}$ to approach zero, we finally obtain

$$
\Phi_{j}(0)=\frac{(-1)^{j}}{2 \pi_{2}} \int_{-i \infty}^{i \infty} \frac{z^{2 j} d z}{L_{n}(z) L_{n}(-z)}
$$

It is easy to see that the integrand takes on only real values on the contour of integration.

The author is grateful to G.Ia. Liubarskil for useful nints and assistance in editing the paper.
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[^0]:    *) For simplicity, the initial conditions for $u(t)$ are assumed to be deterministic.
    **) Grouping of the arguments in twos is the grouping of $s+0$ arguments $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\left(y_{a}, y_{4}\right) \ldots\left(y_{8+0-1}, y_{8+0}\right)$, such that

    $$
    y_{2 k+1}-y_{2 k+2} \leqslant a, \quad y_{2 k+2}-y_{2 k+3}>a \quad\left(k=0,1, \ldots, \frac{s+\sigma}{2}-1\right)
    $$

